PROCESS DISCUSSION

How to make decisions about the future of the RFC Editor Model

WEBEX ISSUES

- To generate a problem report, please follow the below-given steps:-In a WebEx meeting, whenever you face any issue click Help.

- Select the Send Problem Report, under Help's drop-down options.

- The pop-up window will come, Choose your problem type as "Audio" put the information. Check to include Full-screen capture and system and WebEx related information.

- Click Send a Problem Report and wait for the process to complete and generate the report.

- **Please provide us the network logs by following:** https://help.webex.com/en-us/WBX000026383/WBX-Tracer

IETF NOTE WELL

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)

- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)

- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)

- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)

- BCP 78 (Copyright)

- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)

- https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)

AGENDA

- **Administrivia**
  - **Meeting will be recorded**
  - **Note Well (https://www.ietf.org/about/note-well/)**
  - **Stakeholders**
- Acknowledging Possible Models
  - The RFC Editor as a wholly independent entity
  - The RFC Editor as an IAB/RSOC managed function
  - The RFC Editor as an IETF LLC managed function
- **Defining Process**
  - **Who manages the community discussion?**
  - **Who needs to be invited to the table?**
  - **Who calls consensus?**

THIS IS A PROCESS CONVERSATION

Meeting Goal

- **Who manages the community discussion?**
  - **Who needs to be invited to the table?**
    - **Who calls consensus?**

ACKNOWLEDGING DIFFERENT POSSIBLE MODELS

- The RFC Editor as a wholly independent entity

- The RFC Editor as an IAB/RSOC managed function

- The RFC Editor as an IETF LLC managed function

- …

These options are mentioned here only because the seeing the breadth of possibilities is useful to help understand why the question of "who gets to decide" is not simple.

REMINDER: THIS IS A PROCESS CONVERSATION

Meeting Goal

- **Who manages the community discussion?**
  - **Who needs to be invited to the table?**
    - **Who calls consensus?**

VIRTUAL INTERIM #1

- Stream managers and and a small number of community at-large members should be part of a committee that would work much like a [design team](#)

- A chair and a co-chair should be chosen from within that committee to run something like a working group.

- The group is not to be part of the IETF (though much participation is expected from within the IETF community).

- A key characteristic of the chair (and possibly co-chair) is clearly identified potential Conflict of Interest that the chair(s) have before they call consensus.

- A key characteristic and requirement of the working group is **openness of participation and process.**

- **Critical to engage external stakeholders**.

VIRTUAL INTERIM #2

- IAB is the correct home, from a logical and organizational architecture perspective, to host the discussion for the RFC Editor model.

- IAB should organize a program that follows the principles of **open participation** (e.g., the model of an IETF working group), and run a community-wide call for volunteers to both find chairs for this group and to invite participation.

  - Program should have a clear, concrete, and objective charter that can be published as an Internet-Draft.

  - **Organizations external to the IETF should be invited to participate at multiple points in the process**

VIRTUAL INTERIM #3

?