Abstract

This document defines methodologies for benchmarking the control-plane performance of Software-Defined Networking (SDN) Controllers. The SDN Controller is a core component in the SDN architecture that controls the behavior of the network. SDN Controllers have been implemented with many varying designs in order to achieve their intended network functionality. Hence, the authors of this document have taken the approach of considering an SDN Controller to be a black box, defining the methodology in a manner that is agnostic to protocols and network services supported by controllers. This document provides a method for measuring the performance of all controller implementations.

Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8456.
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1. Introduction

This document provides generic methodologies for benchmarking Software-Defined Networking (SDN) Controller performance. To achieve the desired functionality, an SDN Controller may support many northbound and southbound protocols, implement a wide range of applications, and work either alone or as part of a group. This document considers an SDN Controller to be a black box, regardless of design and implementation. The tests defined in this document can be used to benchmark an SDN Controller for performance, scalability, reliability, and security, independently of northbound and southbound protocols. Terminology related to benchmarking SDN Controllers is described in the companion terminology document [RFC8455]. These tests can be performed on an SDN Controller running as a virtual machine (VM) instance or on a bare metal server. This document is intended for those who want to measure an SDN Controller’s performance as well as compare the performance of various SDN Controllers.

1.1. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "REQUIRED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Scope

This document defines a methodology for measuring the networking metrics of SDN Controllers. For the purpose of this memo, the SDN Controller is a function that manages and controls Network Devices. Any SDN Controller without a control capability is out of scope for this memo. The tests defined in this document enable the benchmarking of SDN Controllers in two ways: standalone mode (a standalone controller) and cluster mode (a cluster of homogeneous controllers). These tests are recommended for execution in lab environments rather than in live network deployments. Performance benchmarking of a federation of controllers (i.e., a set of SDN Controllers) managing different domains, is beyond the scope of this document.

3. Test Setup

As noted above, the tests defined in this document enable the measurement of an SDN Controller’s performance in standalone mode and cluster mode. This section defines common reference topologies that are referred to in individual tests described later in this document.
3.1. Test Setup - Controller Operating in Standalone Mode

![Diagram of Test Setup]

**Figure 1**
3.2. Test Setup - Controller Operating in Cluster Mode

![Diagram of test setup]

Figure 2
4. Test Considerations

4.1. Network Topology

The test cases SHOULD use Leaf-Spine topology with at least two Network Devices in the topology for benchmarking. Test traffic generators TP1 and TP2 SHOULD be connected to the leaf Network Device 1 and the leaf Network Device n. To achieve a complete performance characterization of the SDN Controller, it is recommended that the controller be benchmarked for many network topologies and a varying number of Network Devices. Further, care should be taken to make sure that a loop-prevention mechanism is enabled in either the SDN Controller or the network when the topology contains redundant network paths.

4.2. Test Traffic

Test traffic is used to notify the controller about the asynchronous arrival of new flows. The test cases SHOULD use frame sizes of 128, 512, and 1508 bytes for benchmarking. Tests using jumbo frames are optional.

4.3. Test Emulator Requirements

The test emulator SHOULD timestamp the transmitted and received control messages to/from the controller on the established network connections. The test cases use these values to compute the controller processing time.
4.4. Connection Setup

There may be controller implementations that support unencrypted and encrypted network connections with Network Devices. Further, the controller may be backward compatible with Network Devices running older versions of southbound protocols. It may be useful to measure the controller’s performance with one or more applicable connection setup methods defined below. For cases with encrypted communications between the controller and the switch, key management and key exchange MUST take place before any performance or benchmark measurements.

1. Unencrypted connection with Network Devices, running the same protocol version.

2. Unencrypted connection with Network Devices, running different protocol versions.

   Examples:
   b. Controller running older protocol version and switch running current protocol version.

3. Encrypted connection with Network Devices, running the same protocol version.

4. Encrypted connection with Network Devices, running different protocol versions.

   Examples:
   b. Controller running older protocol version and switch running current protocol version.
4.5. Measurement Point Specification and Recommendation

The accuracy of the measurements depends on several factors, including the point of observation where the indications are captured. For example, the notification can be observed at the controller or test emulator. The test operator SHOULD make the observations/measurements at the interfaces of the test emulator, unless explicitly specified otherwise in the individual test. In any case, the locations of measurement points MUST be reported.

4.6. Connectivity Recommendation

The SDN Controller in the test setup SHOULD be connected directly with the forwarding-plane and management-plane test emulators to avoid any delays or failure introduced by the intermediate devices during benchmarking tests. When the controller is implemented as a virtual machine, details of the physical and logical connectivity MUST be reported.

4.7. Test Repeatability

To increase confidence in the measured results, it is recommended that each test SHOULD be repeated a minimum of 10 times.

4.8. Test Reporting

Each test has a reporting format that contains some global and identical reporting components, and some individual components that are specific to individual tests. The following parameters for test configuration and controller settings MUST be reflected in the test report.

Test Configuration Parameters:

1. Controller name and version
2. Northbound protocols and versions
3. Southbound protocols and versions
4. Controller redundancy mode (standalone or cluster mode)
5. Connection setup (unencrypted or encrypted)
6. Network Device type (physical, virtual, or emulated)
7. Number of nodes
8. Number of links
9. Data-plane test traffic type
10. Controller system configuration (e.g., physical or virtual machine, CPU, memory, caches, operating system, interface speed, storage)

11. Reference test setup (e.g., the setup shown in Section 3.1)

Parameters for Controller Settings:

1. Topology rediscovery timeout
2. Controller redundancy mode (e.g., active-standby)
3. Controller state persistence enabled/disabled

To ensure the repeatability of the test, the following capabilities of the test emulator SHOULD be reported:

1. Maximum number of Network Devices that the forwarding plane emulates

2. Control message processing time (e.g., topology discovery messages)

One way to determine the above two values is to simulate the required control sessions and messages from the control plane.
5. Benchmarking Tests

5.1. Performance

5.1.1. Network Topology Discovery Time

Objective:

Measure the time taken by the controller(s) to determine the complete network topology, defined as the interval starting with the first discovery message from the controller(s) at its southbound interface and ending with all features of the static topology determined.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller MUST support network discovery.

2. The tester should be able to retrieve the discovered topology information through either the controller’s management interface or northbound interface to determine if the discovery was successful and complete.

3. Ensure that the controller’s topology rediscovery timeout has been set to the maximum value, to avoid initiation of the rediscovery process in the middle of the test.

Procedure:

1. Ensure that the controller is operational and that its network applications, northbound interface, and southbound interface are up and running.

2. Establish the network connections between the controller and the Network Devices.

3. Record the time for the first discovery message (Tm1) received from the controller at the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1).
4. Query the controller every \( t \) seconds (the RECOMMENDED value for \( t \) is 3) to obtain the discovered network topology information through the northbound interface or the management interface, and compare it with the deployed network topology information.

5. Stop the trial when the discovered topology information matches the deployed network topology or when the discovered topology information returns the same details for three consecutive queries.

6. Record the time for the last discovery message (\( T_{mn} \)) sent to the controller from the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1) when the trial completes successfully (e.g., when the topology matches).

**Measurements:**

- **Topology Discovery Time (DT1)**: \( T_{mn} - T_{m1} \)
- **Average Topology Discovery Time (TDm)**: \( \frac{DT1 + DT2 + DT3 \ldots DTn}{\text{Total Trials}} \)
- **Topology Discovery Time Variance (TDv)**: \( \frac{\text{SUM}[\text{SQUAREOF(DTi - TDm)}]}{\text{Total Trials} - 1} \)

**Reporting Format:**

The Topology Discovery Time results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each successful iteration. The last row of the table indicates the Topology Discovery Time variance, and the previous row indicates the Average Topology Discovery Time.

If this test is repeated with a varying number of nodes over the same topology, the results SHOULD be reported in the form of a graph. The X coordinate SHOULD be the number of nodes (\( N \)), and the Y coordinate SHOULD be the Average Topology Discovery Time.
5.1.2. Asynchronous Message Processing Time

Objective:

Measure the time taken by the controller(s) to process an asynchronous message, defined as the interval starting with an asynchronous message from a Network Device after the discovery of all the devices by the controller(s) and ending with a response message from the controller(s) at its southbound interface.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisite:

The controller MUST have successfully completed the network topology discovery for the connected Network Devices.

Procedure:

1. Generate asynchronous messages from every connected Network Device to the SDN Controller, one at a time in series from the forwarding-plane test emulator for the Trial Duration.

2. Record every request transmit time (T1) and the corresponding response received time (R1) at the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1) for every successful message exchange.

Measurements:

Asynchronous Message Processing Time (APT1) =

\[
\text{SUM}(R1) - \text{SUM}(T1) \\
\text{Nr}_x
\]

Where Nr_x is the total number of successful messages exchanged.

Average Asynchronous Message Processing Time =

\[
\frac{\text{APT1} + \text{APT2} + \text{APT3} \ldots \text{APTn}}{\text{Total Trials}}
\]
Asynchronous Message Processing Time Variance (TAMv) =
\[
\frac{\sum[\text{SQUAREOF}(\text{APT}_i - \text{TAM}_m)]}{\text{Total Trials} - 1}
\]

Where TAMm is the Average Asynchronous Message Processing Time.

Reporting Format:

The Asynchronous Message Processing Time results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each iteration. The last row of the table indicates the Asynchronous Message Processing Time variance, and the previous row indicates the Average Asynchronous Message Processing Time.

The report SHOULD capture the following information, in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8:

- Successful messages exchanged (Nrx)
- Percentage of unsuccessful messages exchanged, computed using the formula \((1 - \frac{\text{Nrx}}{\text{Ntx}}) \times 100\), where Ntx is the total number of messages transmitted to the controller.

If this test is repeated with a varying number of nodes with the same topology, the results SHOULD be reported in the form of a graph. The X coordinate SHOULD be the number of nodes (N), and the Y coordinate SHOULD be the Average Asynchronous Message Processing Time.

5.1.3. Asynchronous Message Processing Rate

Objective:

Measure the number of responses to asynchronous messages (a new flow arrival notification message, link down, etc.) for which the controller(s) performed processing and replied with a valid and productive (non-trivial) response message.

Using a single procedure, this test will measure the following two benchmarks on the Asynchronous Message Processing Rate (see Section 2.3.1.3 of [RFC8455]):

1. Maximum Asynchronous Message Processing Rate
2. Loss-Free Asynchronous Message Processing Rate
Here, two benchmarks are determined through a series of trials where the number of messages sent to the controller(s) and the responses received from the controller(s) are counted over the Trial Duration. The message response rate and the Message Loss Ratio are calculated for each trial.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller(s) MUST have successfully completed the network topology discovery for the connected Network Devices.

2. Choose and record the Trial Duration (Td), the sending rate STEP size, the tolerance on equality for two consecutive trials (P%), and the maximum possible message-sending rate (Ntx1/Td).

Procedure:

1. Generate asynchronous messages continuously at the maximum possible rate on the established connections from all the emulated/simulated Network Devices for the given Trial Duration (Td).

2. Record the total number of responses received (Nrx1) from the controller as well as the number of messages sent (Ntx1) to the controller within the Trial Duration (Td).

3. Calculate the Asynchronous Message Processing Rate (APR1) and the Message Loss Ratio (Lr1). Ensure that the controller(s) has returned to normal operation.

4. Repeat the trial by reducing the asynchronous message-sending rate used in the last trial by the STEP size.

5. Continue repeating the trials and reducing the sending rate until both the maximum value of Nrxn (number of responses received from the controller) and the Nrxn corresponding to a Loss Ratio of zero have been found.
6. The trials corresponding to the benchmark levels MUST be repeated using the same asynchronous message rates until the responses received from the controller are equal (+/-P%) for two consecutive trials.

7. Record the number of responses received (Nrxn) from the controller as well as the number of messages sent (Ntxn) to the controller in the last trial.

Measurements:

Asynchronous Message Processing Rate (APRn) = \( \frac{N_{rxn}}{T_d} \)

Maximum Asynchronous Message Processing Rate = \( \text{MAX}(APRn) \) for all n

Asynchronous Message Loss Ratio (Lrn) = \( 1 - \frac{N_{rxn}}{N_{txn}} \)

Loss-Free Asynchronous Message Processing Rate = \( \text{MAX}(APRn) \) given Lrn = 0

Reporting Format:

The Asynchronous Message Processing Rate results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each trial.

The table should report the following information, in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8, with columns:

- Offered rate (Ntxn/Td)
- Asynchronous Message Processing Rate (APRn)
- Loss Ratio (Lr)
- Benchmark at this iteration (blank for none, Maximum Asynchronous Message Processing Rate, Loss-Free Asynchronous Message Processing Rate)

The results MAY be presented in the form of a graph. The X axis SHOULD be the offered rate, and dual Y axes would represent the Asynchronous Message Processing Rate and the Loss Ratio, respectively.
If this test is repeated with a varying number of nodes over the same topology, the results SHOULD be reported in the form of a graph. The X axis SHOULD be the number of nodes (N), and the Y axis SHOULD be the Asynchronous Message Processing Rate. Both the Maximum Asynchronous Message Processing Rate and the Loss-Free Asynchronous Message Processing Rate should be plotted for each N.

5.1.4. Reactive Path Provisioning Time

Objective:

Measure the time taken by the controller to set up a path reactively between source and destination nodes, defined as the interval starting with the first flow provisioning request message received by the controller(s) at its southbound interface and ending with the last flow provisioning response message sent from the controller(s) at its southbound interface.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document. The number of Network Devices in the path is a parameter of the test that may be varied from two to the maximum discovery size in repetitions of this test.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller MUST contain the network topology information for the deployed network topology.

2. The controller should know the location of the destination endpoint for which the path has to be provisioned. This can be achieved through dynamic learning or static provisioning.

3. Ensure that the default action for "flow miss" in the Network Device is configured to "send to controller".

4. Ensure that each Network Device in a path requires the controller to make the forwarding decision while paving the entire path.
Procedure:

1. Send a single traffic stream from test traffic generator TP1 to test traffic generator TP2.

2. Record the time of the first flow provisioning request message sent to the controller (Tsf1) from the Network Device at the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1).

3. Wait for the arrival of the first traffic frame at the endpoint (i.e., test traffic generator TP2) or the expiry of the Trial Duration (Td).

4. Record the time of the last flow provisioning response message received from the controller (Tdf1) to the Network Device at the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1).

Measurements:

Reactive Path Provisioning Time (RPT1) = Tdf1 - Tsf1

Average Reactive Path Provisioning Time =

\[
\frac{RPT1 + RPT2 + RPT3 \ldots RPTn}{\text{Total Trials}}
\]

Reactive Path Provisioning Time Variance (TRPv) =

\[
\frac{\text{SUM}[\text{SQUAREOF}(RPTi - TRPm)]}{\text{Total Trials} - 1}
\]

Where TRPm is the Average Reactive Path Provisioning Time.

Reporting Format:

The Reactive Path Provisioning Time results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each iteration. The last row of the table indicates the Reactive Path Provisioning Time variance, and the previous row indicates the Average Reactive Path Provisioning Time.

The report should capture the following information, in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8:

- Number of Network Devices in the path
5.1.5. Proactive Path Provisioning Time

Objective:

Measure the time taken by the controller to set up a path proactively between source and destination nodes, defined as the interval starting with the first proactive flow provisioned in the controller(s) at its northbound interface and ending with the last flow provisioning response message sent from the controller(s) at its southbound interface.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller MUST contain the network topology information for the deployed network topology.

2. The controller should know the location of the destination endpoint for which the path has to be provisioned. This can be achieved through dynamic learning or static provisioning.

3. Ensure that the default action for "flow miss" in the Network Device is "drop".

Procedure:

1. Send a single traffic stream from test traffic generator TP1 to test traffic generator TP2.

2. Install the flow entries so that the traffic travels from test traffic generator TP1 until it reaches test traffic generator TP2 through the controller’s northbound interface or management interface.

3. Wait for the arrival of the first traffic frame at test traffic generator TP2 or the expiry of the Trial Duration (Td).

4. Record the time when the proactive flow is provisioned in the controller (Tsf1) at the management-plane test emulator interface (I2).

5. Record the time of the last flow provisioning message received from the controller (Tdf1) at the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1).
Measurements:

Proactive Flow Provisioning Time (PPT1) = Tdf1 - Tsfl

Average Proactive Path Provisioning Time =
\[
PPT1 + PPT2 + PPT3 \ldots PPTn
\]
\[\--------------------------\]
Total Trials

Proactive Path Provisioning Time Variance (TPPv) =
\[
\frac{\text{SUM}[\text{SQUAREOF}(PPTi - TPPm)]}{\text{Total Trials} - 1}
\]

Where TPPm is the Average Proactive Path Provisioning Time.

Reporting Format:

The Proactive Path Provisioning Time results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each iteration. The last row of the table indicates the Proactive Path Provisioning Time variance, and the previous row indicates the Average Proactive Path Provisioning Time.

The report should capture the following information, in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8:

- Number of Network Devices in the path
5.1.6. Reactive Path Provisioning Rate

Objective:

Measure the maximum number of independent paths a controller can concurrently establish per second between source and destination nodes reactively, defined as the number of paths provisioned per second by the controller(s) at its southbound interface for the flow provisioning requests received for path provisioning at its southbound interface between the start of the test and the expiry of the given Trial Duration.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller MUST contain the network topology information for the deployed network topology.

2. The controller should know the location of destination addresses for which the paths have to be provisioned. This can be achieved through dynamic learning or static provisioning.

3. Ensure that the default action for "flow miss" in the Network Device is configured to "send to controller".

4. Ensure that each Network Device in a path requires the controller to make the forwarding decision while provisioning the entire path.

Procedure:

1. Send traffic with unique source and destination addresses from test traffic generator TP1.

2. Record the total number of unique traffic frames (Ndf) received at test traffic generator TP2 within the Trial Duration (Td).
Measurements:

\[
\text{Reactive Path Provisioning Rate (RPR1)} = \frac{N_{df}}{T_d}
\]

Average Reactive Path Provisioning Rate = \[
\frac{RPR1 + RPR2 + RPR3 \ldots RPRn}{\text{Total Trials}}
\]

Reactive Path Provisioning Rate Variance (RPPv) = \[
\frac{\sum \text{SQUAREOF}(RPR_i - RPPm)}{\text{Total Trials} - 1}
\]

Where RPPm is the Average Reactive Path Provisioning Rate.

Reporting Format:

The Reactive Path Provisioning Rate results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each iteration. The last row of the table indicates the Reactive Path Provisioning Rate variance, and the previous row indicates the Average Reactive Path Provisioning Rate.

The report should capture the following information, in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8:

- Number of Network Devices in the path
- Offered rate
5.1.7. Proactive Path Provisioning Rate

Objective:

Measure the maximum number of independent paths a controller can concurrently establish per second between source and destination nodes proactively, defined as the number of paths provisioned per second by the controller(s) at its southbound interface for the paths requested in its northbound interface between the start of the test and the expiry of the given Trial Duration. The measurement is based on data-plane observations of successful path activation.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller MUST contain the network topology information for the deployed network topology.

2. The controller should know the location of destination addresses for which the paths have to be provisioned. This can be achieved through dynamic learning or static provisioning.

3. Ensure that the default action for "flow miss" in the Network Device is "drop".

Procedure:

1. Send traffic continuously with unique source and destination addresses from test traffic generator TP1.

2. Install corresponding flow entries so that the traffic travels from simulated sources at test traffic generator TP1 until it reaches the simulated destinations at test traffic generator TP2 through the controller’s northbound interface or management interface.

3. Record the total number of unique traffic frames (Ndf) received at test traffic generator TP2 within the Trial Duration (Td).
Measurements:

Proactive Path Provisioning Rate (PPR1) = \( \frac{Ndf}{Td} \)

Average Proactive Path Provisioning Rate = 
\( \frac{PPR1 + PPR2 + PPR3 \ldots PPRn}{\text{Total Trials}} \)

Proactive Path Provisioning Rate Variance (PPV) = 
\( \frac{\text{SUM}[\text{SQUAREOF}(PPRi - PPPm)]}{\text{Total Trials} - 1} \)

Where PPPm is the Average Proactive Path Provisioning Rate.

Reporting Format:

The Proactive Path Provisioning Rate results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each iteration. The last row of the table indicates the Proactive Path Provisioning Rate variance, and the previous row indicates the Average Proactive Path Provisioning Rate.

The report should capture the following information, in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8:

- Number of Network Devices in the path
- Offered rate
5.1.8. Network Topology Change Detection Time

Objective:

Measure the amount of time taken by the controller to detect any changes in the network topology, defined as the interval starting with the notification message received by the controller(s) at its southbound interface and ending with the first topology rediscovery message sent from the controller(s) at its southbound interface.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller MUST have successfully discovered the network topology information for the deployed network topology.

2. The periodic network discovery operation should be configured to twice the Trial Duration (Td) value.

Procedure:

1. Trigger a topology change event by bringing down an active Network Device in the topology.

2. Record the time when the first topology change notification is sent to the controller (Tcn) at the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1).

3. Stop the trial when the controller sends the first topology rediscovery message to the Network Device or the expiry of the Trial Duration (Td).

4. Record the time when the first topology rediscovery message is received from the controller (Tcd) at the forwarding-plane test emulator interface (I1).
Measurements:

Network Topology Change Detection Time (TDT1) = Tcd - Tcn

Average Network Topology Change Detection Time =
\[
\frac{TDT1 + TDT2 + TDT3 \ldots TDTn}{\text{Total Trials}}
\]

Network Topology Change Detection Time Variance (NTDv) =
\[
\frac{\text{SUM}[\text{SQUAREOF}(TDTi - \text{NTDm})]}{\text{Total Trials} - 1}
\]

Where NTDm is the Average Network Topology Change Detection Time.

Reporting Format:

The Network Topology Change Detection Time results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a row for each iteration. The last row of the table indicates the Network Topology Change Detection Time variance, and the previous row indicates the Average Network Topology Change Detection Time.

5.2. Scalability

5.2.1. Control Sessions Capacity

Objective:

Measure the maximum number of control sessions the controller can maintain, defined as the number of sessions that the controller can accept from Network Devices, starting with the first control session and ending with the last control session that the controller(s) accepts at its southbound interface.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

None
Procedure:

1. Establish control connections with the controller from every Network Device emulated in the forwarding-plane test emulator.
2. Stop the trial when the controller starts dropping the control connections.
3. Record the number of successful connections established (CCn) with the controller at the forwarding-plane test emulator.

Measurement:

Control Sessions Capacity = CCn

Reporting Format:

The Control Sessions Capacity results MUST be reported in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8.

5.2.2. Network Discovery Size

Objective:

Measure the network size (number of nodes, links, and hosts) that a controller can discover, defined as the size of a network that the controller(s) can discover, starting with a network topology provided by the user for discovery and ending with the number of nodes, links, and hosts that the controller(s) were able to successfully discover.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller MUST support automatic network discovery.
2. The tester should be able to retrieve the discovered topology information through either the controller’s management interface or northbound interface.
Procedure:

1. Establish the network connections between the controller and the network nodes.

2. Query the controller every $t$ seconds (the RECOMMENDED value for $t$ is 30) to obtain the discovered network topology information through the northbound interface or the management interface.

3. Stop the trial when the discovered network topology information remains the same as that of the last two query responses.

4. Compare the obtained network topology information with the deployed network topology information.

5. If the comparison is successful, increase the number of nodes by 1 and repeat the trial.
   If the comparison is unsuccessful, decrease the number of nodes by 1 and repeat the trial.

6. Continue the trial until the comparison (step 5) is successful.

7. Record the number of nodes for the last trial run ($N_s$) where the topology comparison was successful.

Measurement:

Network Discovery Size = $N_s$

Reporting Format:

The Network Discovery Size results MUST be reported in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8.
5.2.3. Forwarding Table Capacity

Objective:

Measure the maximum number of flow entries a controller can manage in its Forwarding Table.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. The controller’s Forwarding Table should be empty.
2. "Flow idle time" MUST be set to a higher or infinite value.
3. The controller MUST have successfully completed network topology discovery.
4. The tester should be able to retrieve the Forwarding Table information through either the controller’s management interface or northbound interface.

Procedures:

- Reactive Flow Provisioning Mode:

  1. Send bidirectional traffic continuously with unique source and destination addresses from test traffic generators TP1 and TP2 at the Asynchronous Message Processing Rate of the controller.

  2. Query the controller at a regular interval (e.g., every 5 seconds) for the number of learned flow entries from its northbound interface.

  3. Stop the trial when the retrieved value is constant for three consecutive iterations, and record the value received from the last query (Nrp).
Proactive Flow Provisioning Mode:

1. Install unique flows continuously through the controller’s northbound interface or management interface until a failure response is received from the controller.

2. Record the total number of successful responses (Nrp).

Note:

Some controller designs for Proactive Flow Provisioning mode may require the switch to send flow setup requests in order to generate flow setup responses. In such cases, it is recommended to generate bidirectional traffic for the provisioned flows.

Measurements:

Proactive Flow Provisioning Mode:

Max Flow Entries = Total number of flows provisioned (Nrp)

Reactive Flow Provisioning Mode:

Max Flow Entries = Total number of learned flow entries (Nrp)

Forwarding Table Capacity = Max Flow Entries

Reporting Format:

The Forwarding Table Capacity results MUST be tabulated with the following information, in addition to the configuration parameters captured per Section 4.8:

- Provisioning Type (Proactive/Reactive)
5.3. Security

5.3.1. Exception Handling

Objective:

Determine the effects of handling error packets and notifications on performance tests. The impact MUST be measured for the following performance tests:

1. Path Provisioning Rate
2. Path Provisioning Time
3. Network Topology Change Detection Time

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. This test MUST be performed after obtaining the baseline measurement results for the performance tests listed above.
2. Ensure that the invalid messages are not dropped by the intermediate devices connecting the controller and Network Devices.

Procedure:

1. Perform the above-listed performance tests, and send 1% of the messages from the Asynchronous Message Processing Rate test (Section 5.1.3) as invalid messages from the connected Network Devices emulated at the forwarding-plane test emulator.
2. Perform the above-listed performance tests, and send 2% of the messages from the Asynchronous Message Processing Rate test (Section 5.1.3) as invalid messages from the connected Network Devices emulated at the forwarding-plane test emulator.

Note:

Invalid messages can be frames with incorrect protocol fields or any form of failure notifications sent towards the controller.
Measurements:

Measurements MUST be done as per the equation defined in the "Measurements" section of the corresponding test listed under "Objective".

Reporting Format:

The Exception Handling results MUST be reported in tabular format, with a column for each of the below parameters and row for each of the above-listed performance tests:

- Without Exceptions
- With 1% Exceptions
- With 2% Exceptions

5.3.2. Handling Denial-of-Service Attacks

Objective:

Determine the effects of handling DoS attacks on performance and scalability tests. The impact MUST be measured for the following tests:

1. Path Provisioning Rate
2. Path Provisioning Time
3. Network Topology Change Detection Time
4. Network Discovery Size

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisite:

This test MUST be performed after obtaining the baseline measurement results for the performance tests listed above.
Procedure:

Perform the above-listed tests, and launch a DoS attack towards the controller while the trial is running.

Note: DoS attacks can be launched on one of the following interfaces:

1. Northbound (e.g., query for flow entries continuously on the northbound interface)

2. Management (e.g., Ping requests to the controller’s management interface)

3. Southbound (e.g., TCP SYN messages on the southbound interface)

Measurements:

Measurements MUST be done as per the equation defined in the "Measurements" section of the corresponding test listed under "Objective".

Reporting Format:

The results regarding the handling of DoS attacks MUST be reported in tabular format, with a column for each of the below parameters and a row for each of the above-listed tests.

- Without any attacks
- With attacks

The report should also specify the nature of the attack and the interface in question.
5.4. Reliability

5.4.1. Controller Failover Time

Objective:

Measure the time taken to switch from an active controller to the backup controller when the controllers work in redundancy mode and the active controller fails, defined as the interval starting when the active controller is brought down and ending with the first rediscovery message received from the new controller at its southbound interface.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use the test setup illustrated in Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. Master controller election MUST be completed.

2. Nodes are connected to the controller cluster per the implemented redundancy mode (e.g., active-standby).

3. The controller cluster should have successfully completed the network topology discovery.

4. The Network Device MUST send all new flows to the controller when it receives them from the test traffic generator.

5. The controller should have learned the location of the destination (D1) at test traffic generator TP2.
Procedure:

1. Send unidirectional traffic continuously with incremental sequence numbers and source addresses from test traffic generator TP1 at the rate at which the controller can process the traffic without any drops.

2. Ensure that there are no packet drops observed at test traffic generator TP2.

3. Bring down the active controller.

4. Stop the trial when the first frame after the failover operation is received on test traffic generator TP2.

5. Record the time at which the last valid frame was received (T1) at test traffic generator TP2 before the sequence error and the time at which the first valid frame was received (T2) after the sequence error at test traffic generator TP2.

Measurements:

Controller Failover Time = (T2 - T1)

Packet Loss = Number of missing packet sequences

Reporting Format:

The Controller Failover Time results MUST be tabulated with the following information:

- Number of cluster nodes
- Redundancy mode
- Controller Failover Time
- Packet Loss
- Cluster keep-alive interval
5.4.2. Network Re-provisioning Time

Objective:

Measure the time taken by the controller to reroute traffic when there is a failure in existing traffic paths, defined as the interval starting with the first failure notification message received by the controller and ending with the last flow re-provisioning message sent by the controller at its southbound interface.

Reference Test Setup:

This test SHOULD use one of the test setups illustrated in Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 of this document.

Prerequisites:

1. A network with a specified number of nodes and redundant paths MUST be deployed.

2. The controller MUST know the location of test traffic generators TP1 and TP2.

3. Ensure that the controller does not pre-provision the alternate path in the emulated Network Devices at the forwarding-plane test emulator.

Procedure:

1. Send bidirectional traffic continuously with a unique sequence number from test traffic generators TP1 and TP2.

2. Bring down a link or switch in the traffic path.

3. Stop the trial after receiving the first frame after network reconvergence.

4. Record the time of the last received frame prior to the frame loss at test traffic generator TP2 (TP2-Tlfr) and the time of the first frame received after the frame loss at test traffic generator TP2 (TP2-Tffr). There must be a gap in sequence numbers of these frames.

5. Record the time of the last received frame prior to the frame loss at test traffic generator TP1 (TP1-Tlfr) and the time of the first frame received after the frame loss at test traffic generator TP1 (TP1-Tffr).
Measurements:

Forward Direction Path Re-provisioning Time (FDRT) = (TP2-Tffr - TP2-Tlfr)

Reverse Direction Path Re-provisioning Time (RDRT) = (TP1-Tffr - TP1-Tlfr)

Network Re-provisioning Time = (FDRT + RDRT)/2

Forward Direction Packet Loss = Number of missing sequence frames at test traffic generator TP1

Reverse Direction Packet Loss = Number of missing sequence frames at test traffic generator TP2

Reporting Format:

The Network Re-provisioning Time results MUST be tabulated with the following information:

- Number of nodes in the primary path
- Number of nodes in the alternate path
- Network Re-provisioning Time
- Forward Direction Packet Loss
- Reverse Direction Packet Loss

6. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.
7. Security Considerations

The benchmarking tests described in this document are limited to the performance characterization of controllers in a lab environment with isolated networks.

The benchmarking network topology will be an independent test setup and MUST NOT be connected to devices that may forward the test traffic into a production network or misroute traffic to the test management network.

Further, benchmarking is performed on a "black-box" basis, relying solely on measurements observable external to the controller.

Special capabilities SHOULD NOT exist in the controller specifically for benchmarking purposes. Any implications for network security arising from the controller SHOULD be identical in the lab and in production networks.

8. References
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8.2. Informative References

Appendix A. Benchmarking Methodology Using OpenFlow Controllers

This section gives an overview of the OpenFlow protocol [OpenFlow-Spec] and provides a test methodology for benchmarking SDN Controllers supporting the OpenFlow southbound protocol. The OpenFlow protocol is used as an example to illustrate the methodologies defined in this document.

A.1. Protocol Overview

OpenFlow [OpenFlow-Spec] is an open standard protocol defined by the Open Networking Foundation (ONF) and used for programming the forwarding plane of network switches or routers via a centralized controller.

A.2. Messages Overview

The OpenFlow protocol supports three message types -- namely, controller-to-switch, asynchronous, and symmetric.

Controller-to-switch messages are initiated by the controller and used to directly manage or inspect the state of the switch. These messages allow controllers to query/configure the switch ("features" messages, configuration messages), collect information from a switch (Read-State messages), send packets on a specified port of a switch (OFPT_PACKET_OUT messages), and modify the switch forwarding plane and state (Modify-State messages, Role-Request messages, etc.).

Asynchronous messages are generated by the switch without a controller soliciting them. These messages allow switches to update controllers to denote an arrival of a new flow (OFPT_PACKET_IN messages), switch state changes ("flow-removed" messages, port-status messages), and errors (Error messages).

Symmetric messages are generated in either direction without solicitation. These messages allow switches and controllers to set up a connection (Hello messages), verify liveness (Echo messages), and offer additional functionalities (Experimenter messages).

A.3. Connection Overview

The OpenFlow channel is used to exchange OpenFlow messages between an OpenFlow switch and an OpenFlow controller. The OpenFlow channel connection can be set up using plain TCP or TLS. By default, a switch establishes a single connection with the SDN Controller. A switch may establish multiple parallel connections to a single controller (auxiliary connection) or multiple controllers to handle controller failures and load balancing.
A.4. Performance Benchmarking Tests

A.4.1. Network Topology Discovery Time

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Initialize controller app., NB and SB interfaces&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Deploy network with given no. of OF switches&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_HELLO Exchange</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_OUT with LLDP to all switches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tm1)</td>
<td>&lt;---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with LLDP received from Switch 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with LLDP received from Switch 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with LLDP received from Switch n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tmn)</td>
<td>&lt;--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Wait for the expiry of the Trial Duration (Td)&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Query the controller for discovered n/w topo. (Di)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Compare the discovered n/w topology and the offered n/w topology&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legend:

NB: Northbound
SB: Southbound
OF: OpenFlow
OFP: OpenFlow Protocol
LLDP: Link-Layer Discovery Protocol
Tm1: Time of reception of first LLDP message from controller
Tmn: Time of last LLDP message sent to controller

Discussion:

The Network Topology Discovery Time can be obtained by calculating the time difference between the first OFPT_PACKET_OUT with an LLDP message received from the controller (Tm1) and the last OFPT_PACKET_IN with an LLDP message sent to the controller (Tmn) when the comparison is successful.
A.4.2. Asynchronous Message Processing Time

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with single</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFP match header</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T0)----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_OUT with single</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFP action header</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R0)----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with single</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFP match header</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tn)----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_OUT with single</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFP action header</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Rn)----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Wait for the expiry of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Duration&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Record the number of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_INs/OFPT_PACKET_OUTs exchanged (Nrx)&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:

T0,T1,..Tn: transmit timestamps of OFPT_PACKET_IN messages
R0,R1,..Rn: receive timestamps of OFPT_PACKET_OUT messages
Nrx: Number of successful OFPT_PACKET_IN/OFPT_PACKET_OUT message exchanges

Discussion:

The Asynchronous Message Processing Time will be obtained by calculating the sum of ((R0 - T0),(R1 - T1)..(Rn - Tn))/Nrx.
A.4.3. Asynchronous Message Processing Rate

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with single OFP match header</td>
<td>&lt;-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_OUT with single OFP action header</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;-----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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Discussion:

Using a single procedure, this test will measure two benchmarks:

1. The Maximum Asynchronous Message Processing Rate will be obtained by calculating the maximum OFPT_PACKET_OUTs (Nrxn) received from the controller(s) across n trials.

2. The Loss-Free Asynchronous Message Processing Rate will be obtained by calculating the maximum OFPT_PACKET_OUTs received from the controller(s) when the Loss Ratio equals zero. The Loss Ratio is obtained by calculating \( 1 - \frac{Nrxn}{Ntxn} \).

A.4.4. Reactive Path Provisioning Time

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP1</th>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP2</th>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G-ARP (D1)</td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1,D1) (Tsfl)</td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(S1,D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLOW_MOD(D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic (S1,D1) (Tdf1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legend:

- G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message
- Tsf1: Time of first frame sent from TP1
- Tdf1: Time of first frame received from TP2

Discussion:

The Reactive Path Provisioning Time can be obtained by finding the time difference between the transmit and receive times of the traffic (Tsf1 - Tdf1).
A.4.5. Proactive Path Provisioning Time

Procedure:

- **Test Traffic Generator TP1**
- **Test Traffic Generator TP2**
- **Network Devices OpenFlow**
- **SDN Controller**
- **Application**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic (S1,D1) (Tsf1)</th>
<th>G-ARP (D1)</th>
<th>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1)</th>
<th>&lt;Install flow for S1,D1&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Traffic (S1,D1) (Tdf1) | FLOW_MOD(D1) | <----------------- |

Legend:

- **G-ARP**: Gratuitous ARP message
- **Tsf1**: Time of first frame sent from TP1
- **Tdf1**: Time of first frame received from TP2

Discussion:

The Proactive Path Provisioning Time can be obtained by finding the time difference between the transmit and receive times of the traffic \( T_{sf1} - T_{df1} \).
A.4.6. Reactive Path Provisioning Rate

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP1</th>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP2</th>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sn, D1..Dn)</td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1..Dn)</td>
<td>G-ARP (D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Legend:

G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message
D1..Dn: Destination Endpoint 1, Destination Endpoint 2 ..., Destination Endpoint n
S1..Sn: Source Endpoint 1, Source Endpoint 2 ..., Source Endpoint n

Discussion:

The Reactive Path Provisioning Rate can be obtained by finding the total number of frames received at test traffic generator TP2 after the Trial Duration.
### A.4.7. Proactive Path Provisioning Rate

**Procedure:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP1</th>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP2</th>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sn, D1..Dn)</td>
<td>(Tsf1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-ARP (D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN</td>
<td>(D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sn, D1..Dn)</td>
<td>(Tdf1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOW_MOD(S1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOW_MOD(D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOW_MOD(Sn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOW_MOD(Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<Install flow for S1,D1>  
<Install flow for Sn,Dn>
Legend:

G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message
D1..Dn: Destination Endpoint 1, Destination Endpoint 2 ..., Destination Endpoint n
S1..Sn: Source Endpoint 1, Source Endpoint 2 ..., Source Endpoint n

Discussion:

The Proactive Path Provisioning Rate can be obtained by finding the total number of frames received at test traffic generator TP2 after the Trial Duration.

A.4.8. Network Topology Change Detection Time

Procedure:

Network Devices | OpenFlow Controller | SDN Application
-----------------|---------------------|-------------------
T0 PORT_STATUS with link down from S1 | | <Bring down a link in Switch S1>
| | |
First OFPT_PACKET_OUT with LLDP to OF switch | | |
T1 | | <Record time of first OFPT_PACKET_OUT with LLDP T1>
| | |

Discussion:

The Network Topology Change Detection Time can be obtained by finding the difference between the time that OpenFlow Switch S1 sends the PORT_STATUS message (T0) and the time that the OpenFlow controller sends the first topology rediscovery message (T1) to OpenFlow switches.
A.5. Scalability

A.5.1. Control Sessions Capacity

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| OFPT_HELLO Exchange for Switch 1 | -------------->
| OFPT_HELLO Exchange for Switch 2 | -------------->
| . | . |
| OFPT_HELLO Exchange for Switch n | X<------------------------>X |

Discussion:

The value of Switch \((n - 1)\) will provide the Control Sessions Capacity.
A.5.2. Network Discovery Size

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_HELLO Exchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;&lt;-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_OUT with LLDP to all switches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;&lt;-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with LLDP rcvd from Switch 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;&lt;-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with LLDP rcvd from Switch 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN with LLDP rcvd from Switch n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;&lt;-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Wait for the expiry of the Trial Duration (Td)&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Query the controller for discovered n/w topo. (N1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;&lt;-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;If N1==N, repeat Step 1 with N + 1 nodes until N1&lt;N &gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;&lt;-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;If N1&lt;N, repeat Step 1 with N=N1 nodes once and exit&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legend:

n/w topo: Network topology
OF: OpenFlow

Discussion:

The value of N1 provides the Network Discovery Size value. The Trial Duration can be set to the stipulated time within which the user expects the controller to complete the discovery process.
### A.5.3. Forwarding Table Capacity

**Procedure:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP1</th>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G-ARP (H1..Hn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Wait for 5 secs&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Query for FWD entry&gt; (F1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Wait for 5 secs&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Query for FWD entry&gt; (F2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Wait for 5 secs&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Query for FWD entry&gt; (F3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Repeat Step 2 until F1==F2==F3&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**

- G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message
- H1..Hn: Host 1 .. Host n
- FWD: Forwarding Table

**Discussion:**

Query the controller’s Forwarding Table entries multiple times, until three consecutive queries return the same value. The last value retrieved from the controller will provide the Forwarding Table Capacity value. The query interval is user configurable. The interval of 5 seconds shown in this example is for representational purposes.
A.6. Security

A.6.1. Exception Handling

Procedure:

Test Traffic  Test Traffic  Network Devices  OpenFlow  SDN
Generator TP1  Generator TP2  Controller  Application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G-ARP (D1..Dn)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sn,D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(S1..Sa, D1..Da)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN  (Sa+1..Sn, Da+1..Dn)</td>
<td>(1% incorrect OFP match header)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOW_MOD(D1..Dn)</td>
<td>&lt;----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOW_MOD(S1..Sa)</td>
<td></td>
<td>OFP headers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sa, D1..Da)</td>
<td>&lt;----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<Repeat
Step 1 with
2% incorrect
OFPT_PACKET_INs>

<Record Rx
frames at
TP2 (Rn2)>

Legend:

G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message
OFPT_PACKET_IN(Sa+1..Sn,Da+1..Dn): OFPT_PACKET_IN with
wrong version number
Rn1: Total number of frames received at Test Port 2
with 1% incorrect frames
Rn2: Total number of frames received at Test Port 2
with 2% incorrect frames

Discussion:

The traffic rate sent towards the OpenFlow switch from Test Port 1
should be 1% higher than the Path Programming Rate. Rn1 will
provide the Path Provisioning Rate of the controller when 1% of
incorrect frames are received, and Rn2 will provide the Path
Provisioning Rate of the controller when 2% of incorrect frames
are received.

The procedure defined above provides test steps to determine the
effects of handling error packets on the Path Programming Rate.
The same procedure can be adapted to determine the effects on
other performance tests listed in this benchmarking test.
A.6.2. Handling Denial-of-Service Attacks

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP1</th>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP2</th>
<th>Network Device</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-ARP (D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sn,D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(S1..Sn, D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP SYN attack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from a switch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOW_MOD(D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOW_MOD(S1..Sn)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OFP headers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sn, D1..Dn)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Wait for the expiry of the Trial Duration&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Record Rx frames at TP2 (Rn1)&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legend:

G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message

Discussion:

A TCP SYN attack should be launched from one of the emulated/simulated OpenFlow switches. Rnl provides the Path Programming Rate of the controller upon handling a denial-of-service attack.

The procedure defined above provides test steps to determine the effects of handling denial of service on the Path Programming Rate. The same procedure can be adapted to determine the effects on other performance tests listed in this benchmarking test.
### A.7. Reliability

#### A.7.1. Controller Failover Time

**Procedure:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP1</th>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP2</th>
<th>Network Device</th>
<th>OpenFlow Controller</th>
<th>SDN Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1..Sn,D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
G-ARP (D1)  
-------------
| OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1)  
-------------------
Traffic (S1..Sn,D1)  
-------------------
OFPT_PACKET_IN(S1,D1)  
---------------------
| FLOW_MOD(D1)  
---------------
| FLOW_MOD(S1)  
---------------
```

Traffic (S1,D1)  
-------------------
```
| OFPT_PACKET_IN(S2,D1)  
----------------------
| FLOW_MOD(S2)  
---------------
| OFPT_PACKET_IN  
                (Sn-1,D1)  
---------------------
| OFPT_PACKET_IN(Sn,D1)  
----------------------
| .  
| .  
| FLOW_MOD(Sn-1)  
-----------------
```

<Bring down the active controller>
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Legend:

G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message

Discussion:

The time difference between the last valid frame received before the traffic loss and the first frame received after the traffic loss will provide the Controller Failover Time.

If there is no frame loss during the Controller Failover Time, the Controller Failover Time can be deemed negligible.
A.7.2. Network Re-provisioning Time

Procedure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP1</th>
<th>Test Traffic Generator TP2</th>
<th>Network Devices</th>
<th>OpenFlow</th>
<th>SDN Controller</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G-ARP (D1)</td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-ARP (S1)</td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(S1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1,D1,Seq. no (1..n))</td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(S1,D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(D1,S1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOW_MOD(D1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OFPT_PACKET_IN(S1,S1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOW_MOD(S1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1,D1,Seq. no(1))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (S1,D1,Seq. no(2))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traffic (D1,S1,Seq. no(1))
<-----------------------------
Traffic (D1,S1,Seq. no(2))
<-----------------------------
Traffic (D1,S1,Seq. no(x))
<-----------------------------
Traffic (S1,D1, Seq. no(x))
<-----------------------------

<Bring down the switch in the active traffic path>

PORT_STATUS(Sa)
----------------->
Traffic (S1,D1, Seq. no(n - 1))
X<------------
Traffic (D1,S1,Seq. no(n - 1))
X<-----------------------------

FLOW_MOD(D1)
<-----------------------------
FLOW_MOD(S1)
<-----------------------------
Traffic (D1,S1,Seq. no(n))
<-----------------------------
Traffic (S1,D1, Seq. no(n))
<-----------------------------

<Stop the test after recv. traffic upon failover>
Legend:

G-ARP: Gratuitous ARP message  
Seq. no: Sequence number  
Sa: Neighbor switch of the switch that was brought down

Discussion:

The time difference between the last valid frame received before the traffic loss (packet with sequence number x) and the first frame received after the traffic loss (packet with sequence number n) will provide the Network Re-provisioning Time.

Note that the trial is valid only when the controller provisions the alternate path upon network failure.
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