RFC Editor Report

75th IETF Meeting
Stockholm, Sweden
RFC Editor Office Hours at IETF 75
Monday - Wednesday
9:30 - 4:30

Sunday Tutorial Session Participation
Introduction to xml2rfc
Time: 13:00 - 14:50
Placement of the Abstract in RFCs

- Abstract will appear between Title and Status of This Memo
- Recommended order for RFCs
  - Title
  - Abstract
  - Status of This Memo
  - IESG Note (if necessary)
  - Copyright Notice
  - Introduction
Cluster Pages Now Available
- Tracks movement of sets of documents in the queue
  - http://www.rfc-editor.org/all_clusters.php

Metadata Pages Now Available
- Contains relevant information about each RFC (e.g., obsoleted by, errata, stream)
- Meets the requirements in draft-iab-streams-headers-boilerplate-08.txt (see Section 3.2.3)
- http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcXXXX
News

■ Independent Stream
  ▶ Publication still suspended
  ▶ Working with the Editorial Board and Trust to determine the appropriate copyright
  ▶ Independent documents stuck in AUTH48

■ Bidders Conference held 3 June
  ▶ Slides available at
    o http://iaoc.ietf.org/rfcied-procurement.html#Bidders
## 4-Month Summary
1 March 2009 - 30 June 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Since IETF 74</th>
<th>Submissions</th>
<th>Publications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IETF WG</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRTF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Published with no objection from the Trust (1 April and 40th Anniversary RFCs)

~2868 pgs
### Current Queue Breakdown as of 30 July 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In State</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Median Wks</th>
<th>Max Wks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDIT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.9 #</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFC Editor</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSREF</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTH48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**#:**  
Was 0.4 weeks at IETF 71  
Was 1.1 weeks at IETF 72  
Was 0.3 weeks at IETF 73  
Was 3.4 weeks at IETF 74

**#: Not meaningful because of Norm Ref holds**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>~4-Month Period</th>
<th>Submitted/Period</th>
<th>Published/Period</th>
<th>Reported @</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct06 - Feb07</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>IETF 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar - Jun07</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>IETF 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul - Oct07</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>IETF 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov07 - Feb08</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>IETF 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar - Jun08</td>
<td>26.75</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>IETF 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul - Oct08</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>IETF 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov08 - Feb09</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>IETF 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar - Jun09</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>IETF 75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annual Publication Rate

Number of RFC documents Published per Year

- 2004: 281
- 2005: 327
- 2006: 459
- 2007: 320
- 2008: 290
- YTD: 169
The graph shows the total number RFCs published in a given year, and of those, the number of distinct RFCs for which errata have been submitted.
Web site: http://www.rfc-editor.org/

RFC Editor reports and statistics are available at:

Send us Email: rfc-editor@rfc-editor.org

Interest list: rfc-interest@rfc-editor.org

- This list was created to facilitate community discussion on the RFC series and to make suggestions about RFC Editor functions. The RFC Editor hopes that this mailing list will provide a focal point for input, information, and discussion about the details of the RFC process, as well as an archive to avoid the continual re-hashing of some issues. Topics appropriate to this list may include formatting, tools, style, content, and indexing aspects of the RFC series. It will not be used for discussion of the IETF standards process, general IETF organizational issues, or issues for which the NOTE WELL admonition is needed for IPR reasons. The posting policy is subscriber-only to reduce spam. It will initially be unmoderated, but the RFC Editor will cut off any discussions that are inappropriate according to the guidelines above.